

June 12 Open Session

Attendees

Penn Pfautz - AT&T
Jim Baskin - Verizon
Robert Schafer - Verizon
Steve Lind - AT&T
Sue Tiffany - Sprint-Nextel
Andy Gallant - AG Design
Beth O'Donnell - Cox Communications
Adam Newman - Telcordia
Tim Denton - PE
Jay Carpenter - 1-800-AFTA
Stacey Cheney - NTIA
Karen Mulberry - NeuStar

1. Introductions

2. Agenda Approval for Open Session –approved

- Introductions
- Agenda Approval for Open Session
- Status Reports
- TAC
- ENUM Forum
- Other industry groups
- End-user trial Update
- TPAC report
- Trial timeline review and update
- Provider Trial Update
- Q&A

3. Status Reports

- TAC

Steve Lind reported that a clean version of the toll free trial framework document was distributed with all updates discussed in the past. The TAC conference call on this document has been postponed to June 21.

The latest Provider ENUM Requirements have been posted to the web.

- ENUM Forum

Nothing to report.

- Other industry groups

Tim Denton – no change at CTRC.

Andy Gallant asked if anyone had information about Berlin conference discussion of business case for user ENUM

Jim Baskin reported that the LLC had a call with the USG team during the May meeting and discussed two primary topics, the toll free trial and the end user trial.

For toll free there was no conclusion and not much feedback except that the USG is interested in tracking progress. With respect to the end user trial it was suggested the LLC may want to contact the FCC re the waiver. Before doing so the LLC wants to see if industry is interested in pursuing a trial in e164.arpa. Jim asked for expressions of support in this regard as well as for implementation of user ENUM.

Karen Mulberry asked if trial tests would be the same. The answer was “Yes.” Andy Gallant asked under what restrictions the trial would take place. It was indicated that they would be the same as outlined in the waiver request. Andy indicated this might limit the usefulness and support for the trial. Andy also asked if there was more specific information about government concerns and what the government would like to see tested.

Karen Mulberry not sure of value in redoing what already accomplished in alternate domain.

Jim asked if anyone was actively interested in pursuing the trial work under e164.arpa. It was also asked what items needed further work. Some concerns were expressed about authentication but it was noted that these were not necessarily related to e164.arpa versus an alternate domain.

No support was expressed for proceeding with trial under the conditions of the waiver. Tim Denton suggested the question be put to the TPAC explicitly.

- End-user trial Update

Tim Denton: working on trial report. The TPAC is evaluating what has been done in terms of checklist from ENUM Forum 6003 framework document.

Robert Schafer: while further testing not foreclosed the testing phase of the trial seems essentially complete.

- Provider trial Update

Robert Schafer: now in reporting phase of trial.

Re the Provider ENUM Technical Requirements document, there were some contributions. The LLC divided issues into technical, RFP, and contractual buckets. The LLC is in process of addressing technical items. A new version of document is now available on the LLC's web site.

The LLC plans to move ahead with work on RFP.

4. Q&A

Jay Carpenter asked how successful is user ENUM in countries where it has been implemented. Tim Denton suggested asking Michael Haberler.

Karen Mulberry asked about an updated timeline for Provider ENUM.

Jim Baskin indicated a timeline was not available at this time.

Andy Gallant asked if LLC ever submitted information to the RIPE NCC list re CC 1.

Jim Baskin indicated it had not because the page in question did not appear to be an official function of RIPE NCC. Andy disagreed.

Andy Gallant asked for update on his previous questions.

Steve Lind responded that the LLC is working on RFP and requirements for Provider ENUM but is not yet in a position to release an RFP.

For end user ENUM the LLC is waiting for the trial report and then feedback from USG before releasing RFPs.

Andy expressed concern about lack of transparency and public input and lack of outreach in terms of resolving policy issues.

Sue Tiffany suggested Andy put his concerns in writing.

Jay Carpenter asked the LLC to consider other membership options

Considerable discussion about the LLC its mission and procedures ensued.

Adjourned